

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [East Anglia ONE North](#); [East Anglia Two](#)  
**Subject:** Invitation from Secretary of State to comment on EA1N/2 as per letter of 2 November 2021  
**Date:** 22 November 2021 19:44:08

---

Dear Secretary of State,

[REDACTED] my self-catering holiday cottage business are on the Suffolk coast, right beside the proposed cabling route inland to Friston. I would like to know why the proposed siting of substations is being considered as opposed to an industrialised site.

Offshore wind will undoubtedly bring a positive national and regional economic impact. However, at a micro level, around Friston and the surrounding villages of Aldeburgh Thorpeness, Snape and even Southwold, it seems irresponsible to let all our business go to dogs because its easier to do so (I assume its easier and cheaper otherwise SPR would have proposed a brownfield or offshore ring. Clogged roads and the perception of massive construction will lead our tourists to choose somewhere more attractive.

This is an unacceptable loss for us in Suffolk. Unacceptable because it is needless. The destruction of kilometres of unspoilt countryside will destroy a significant amount of the areas tourism and in my own case I will not be able to invite guests for 1-2yrs because the cable route would run just the other side of our hedge. Even if you wrote me a cheque for my lost income I would still say don't wreck Suffolk Coast when you don't need to and certainly not because its easier and quicker if you just say yes. The fact that nationally people will thank you for getting an energy infrastructure scheme built quickly doesn't mean you should just accept the scheme as proposed.

Please 'SAY YES' to the windfarms but 'SAY NO' to digging up Suffolk to get the power distributed.

Yours sincerely,

Mark Haines

[REDACTED]